

701 Atlantic Avenue - Alameda, California 94501-2161 - TEL: (510) 747-4300 - FAX: (510) 522-7848 - TDD: (510) 522-8467

#### **DRAFT MINUTES**

# SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF THE HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF ALAMEDA HELD TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 6, 2011

The Board of Commissioners was called to order at 7:25 p.m.

#### PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

## 1. ROLL CALL

Present: Commissioners Allen, Bonta, deHaan, Johnson, Tam and Chair Gilmore

Absent: None

#### CONSENT CALENDAR

Commissioner deHaan moved acceptance of the Consent Calendar. Commissioner Bonta seconded. Motion carried unanimously. Items accepted or adopted are indicated by an asterisk.

- \*2-A. Minutes of the Special Board of Commissioners meeting held July 5, 2011. Minutes were accepted.
- \*2-B. Approve the Housing Commission's recommendation that the Board of Commissioners authorize formation of a Limited Liability Company (LLC) and approve the LLC's role as an Additional General Partner in The Alameda Islander L.P. for the acquisition and renovation of the Islander Motel as permanent affordable housing.
- \*2-C. Awarded the contract to Bay Area Contract Carpets in the annual amount of \$200,000, with an option to renew for two additional fiscal years not to exceed \$200,000 annually and authorize the Executive Director to execute the contract.
- \*2-D. Award a contract to Ransome Company in the amount of \$198,500, including contingencies, for replacement of parking lots and driveway at Esperanza and authorize the Executive Director to execute the contract.

#### 3. AGENDA

3-A. Provide direction on concept of Housing Authority autonomy.

Mr. Pucci, Housing Authority Executive Director, proposed that the Housing Authority be made an autonomous Agency of the City of Alameda with an autonomous Board. Mr. Pucci stated that prior to 1981 the Housing Authority was autonomous. He

provided some background information, including when and why the City Council determined that they wanted the Housing Authority to be more closely aligned with the City and what measures they took to make that happen. In the year 2000, the then City Manager decided that all Housing Authority employees should become City employees. This transition occurred and was accomplished through an agreement with the City to provide staff for the Housing Authority.

Mr. Pucci advised that in 2010, an organizational study was conducted for the Housing Authority, and one of the options put forth was to make the Housing Authority autonomous. Mr. Pucci stated that throughout most of California and the United States, housing authorities are not part of city government. Over the last 40 years, Mr. Pucci advised that the Housing Authority has not received any General Fund monies from the City. He said that the Housing Authority has its own income, primarily from the federal government and tenant rents. Unlike the Berkeley Housing Authority, that has received thousands of dollars in City subsidies over the years, the Alameda Housing Authority has enough income to enable it to run efficiently and not depend on City funds.

Mr. Pucci advised that there would be benefits to the City should the Housing Authority be autonomous. He said that when problems arise, autonomy would provide a distinction between the Housing Authority and the City. Mr. Pucci said that with autonomy a greater firewall would be created to protect the City from law suits. He added that there would be no financial liability for the City should Congress or HUD reduce funding to the Housing Authority. Mr. Pucci added that the Housing Authority would be able to move more quickly and efficiently on projects, eliminating the current two step process where projects are first brought to the Housing Commission and then the Board of Commissioners. Mr. Pucci invited questions.

Chair Gilmore invited speaker Judge Richard Bartalini to the podium. Judge Bartalini said that he had served nine years on the Housing Commission as it is currently constituted. He also advised that prior to 1981, his law firm was the legal counsel for the Housing Authority. Judge Bartalini referenced the FAQ document attached to the staff report and urged the Board to give an affirmative vote on Housing Authority autonomy.

Board Member Tam stated that she sees a lot of benefits to autonomy but was curious about what happened in 1981. She asked Judge Bartalini why the Housing Authority lost its autonomy in 1981. Judge Bartalini said his recollection of events differed from Mr. Pucci's and the Chair of the Housing Commission, Arthur Kurrasch, who was in attendance. He added his historical knowledge of the Housing Authority; when it was autonomous, how it was able to develop Esperanza in the 1970s.

Board Member Johnson asked Mr. Pucci when the Guyton lawsuit took place. Mr. Pucci advised that it was around 1989 and settled around 1991.

Chief Executive Officer Russo said that a response was still needed on what occurred in 1981 that resulted in the Housing Authority losing its autonomy. Mr. Pucci said that he reviewed the minutes of the City Council and Board of Commissioners at the time.

He said that the action appeared to be amicable and took place because the City wanted more say in Housing Authority operations. He added that the focus may have been related to the demolition of World War II-era housing. Mr. Pucci said it was difficult to determine if there was one reason or several that resulted to the decision. He said that he also heard, though it is not mentioned in the official record, that HUD expressed concern that the proper reports had not being submitted and audits had not been done.

Board Member Johnson said that she thought it was a good idea for the Housing Authority to be autonomous and separate from City politics.

Chair Gilmore asked what oversight there would be to prevent problems that may have occurred in 1981 from happening again. Mr. Pucci answered that Congress directed HUD to take a more affirmative role in monitoring housing authorities and that HUD has automated report submission. He said that all reports and the audit are submitted to HUD online and are available for public review. Mr. Pucci added that non-compliance with HUD reporting would become obvious in a few months rather than a few years.

Board Member deHaan mentioned the economies of scale that the Housing Authority enjoys being a part of the City, such as policing services, legal, etc. He asked if this would change or whether it would continue under contract. Mr. Pucci responded that the Housing Authority intends to continue policing services; however, it would look at separate legal, information technology, human resources, and fleet maintenance services. Board Member deHaan asked if there was a cost estimate of what that would entail and if it will be more efficient or not. Mr. Pucci stated that he thought it would be more cost efficient in some areas and more costly in others. He stated that currently General Counsel's cost are nominal, but during the transition period, the Housing Authority will probably be using the General Counsel more to ensure everything is done properly and is in compliance. Mr. Pucci also said that the Housing Authority could be negotiating MOUs, as it did prior to 2000, and this would result in additional cost.

Board Member deHaan asked Mr. Russo what financial analyses had been made in respect to cost for the City, referencing cost allocation. Mr. Russo stated that last fiscal year, the Housing Authority paid just under \$300,000 to the City for direct services. He said that more than two-thirds, specifically \$210,000, of this was for police service. He said that, to the extent the Housing Authority is not contracting for these services, it would be a hit to the General Fund. Mr. Russo said Housing Authority staff and City Executive Management staff were working hard to address these issues. He added, that assuming the issues can be resolved which he thought they could, that it makes a lot of sense in macro terms for the Housing Authority to be autonomous. Mr. Russo said there are two reasons, including efficiency. He said that housing authorities throughout the country are usually autonomous. Mr. Russo said that housing authorities have to deal with federal and state policies that are sometimes at odds with city decisions. He added that the other reason was that it would relieve the City of any liability exposure associated with the Housing Authority. Mr. Russo stated that in his experience, housing authorities are a litigious area.

Chair Gilmore expressed concern that the Housing Authority would hesitate to call an attorney if there wasn't one on staff because of the expense. She wondered if the Housing Authority had thought about the up-front value of having a General Counsel. Mr. Pucci stated that when he talks about General Counsel, he is referring to general governmental matters, which are referred to General Counsel through the City Attorney's Office. He added that when there are development items, specialized counsel is needed. He said that several attorneys are called on for involved projects such as the Islander Motel. He advised that these would have to be determined on a case-by-case basis. Mr. Pucci said that the Housing Authority will need General Counsel for general governmental matters and that he will continue conversations with the Chief Executive Officer and seek his guidance on General Counsel services for the Housing Authority.

Judge Bartalini's stated that there are a lot of people with legal background that want this to happen and would probably donate their services to make this happen. He offered his services with no compensation. Chair Gilmore expressed her appreciation for his offer to volunteer his services.

Board Member Johnson said she assumed that the Housing Authority would contract for General Counsel and have someone on retainer that would be available. The Chief Executive Officer said that he thought the Housing Authority had enough business with evictions, federal contracts, and labor negotiations/personnel issues that it would be better to have a General Counsel on staff. Board Member Johnson said that, if the Council decides to make the Housing Authority autonomous, the City should not be making that decision for the Housing Authority.

Board Member Johnson went on to ask about the controversy over the demolition of war-era housing. She said she had her own thoughts on the subject and thinks it is important for Alameda to understand its history.

Judge Bartalini gave an account of what he remembers from the 3-2 vote back in 1981. He mentioned that there were differences of opinion and thought that the decision was not as amicable as Mr. Pucci thought though he said he had not read the record. Judge Bartalini said Alameda's population was over 90,000 at the time and he thought the number of public housing units per capita probably exceeded Richmond's even though Richmond had a larger shipyard complex. He expressed reluctance to add more information about this history as he was unsure of its accuracy, but he offered to meet with Board Member Johnson in person to discuss the issue.

Board Member Johnson said that she thought it was a good idea for the Housing Authority to be autonomous and separate from political issues.

Vice Chair Bonta asked what the Council's relationship would be during the Board members terms. He asked if Board members served at the pleasure of the Council or if they served unconditionally. Mr. Pucci said this issue is governed by the Health and Safety Code and he believes that a public hearing is required to remove a Board member. He added that removal is for cause.

Board Member Tam asked if the Council gives direction to pursue autonomy, whether there would be check-in reports and what the timeline is. Mr. Russo said that there are issues to work through, but he thought they could come back to Council by the end of October with a final proposal for a vote. He added that this would give staff a month and, if the Board gives direction to proceed, staff would double-time it and get the final proposal back to Council by the second meeting in October.

Board Member Johnson moved to give direction to proceed in the direction of autonomy of the Housing Authority. She also asked staff to address the policing issue.

Board Member Tam seconded the motion and asked staff to address the issues of fiscal neutrality in terms of impacts to City, economies of scale as mentioned by Board Member deHaan, and if there were any legal issues that required charter changes. She added that this issue is worth exploring and that there are a lot of benefits from autonomy.

Vote was unanimous.

Board Member deHaan asked staff to clarify how the Housing Authority would interface with Council. He said he understood that the Council would no longer be looking at the Housing Authority's budget and other day to day activities, such as hiring and firing practices.

- 4. ORAL COMMUNICATIONS
- 5. COMMISSIONER COMMUNICATIONS
- 6. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, Chair Gilmore adjourned the meeting at 8:00 p.m.

Attest:			Marie L. Gilmore, Chair
			en de la propertie de la companya d
		* - 4	
Michael T. Pucci			
Executive D	Director / 3	Secretary	

# MINUTES OF THE SPECIAL JOINT CITY COUNCIL. ALAMEDA REUSE AND REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY (ARRA). COMMUNITY IMPROVEMENT COMMISSION (CIC), AND HOUSING AUTHORITY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS (HABOC) MEETING TUESDAY--FEBRUARY 1, 2011--6:59 P.M.

Mayor/Chair Gilmore convened the meeting at 7:47 p.m. Commissioner Allen led the Pledge of Allegiance.

ROLL CALL -

Present:

Commissioner Councilmembers Allen. Board

Members/Commissioners Bonta, deHaan, Tam and

Mayor/Chair Gilmore – 5.

Absent:

Councilmember/Board

Johnson - 1.

Member/Commissioner

# CONSENT CALENDAR

Mayor/Chair Gilmore announced that the Fiscal Year 2009-2010 Audited Financial Statements [paragraph no. 11-046 CC/11-009 ARRA/11-006 CIC] was removed from the Consent Calendar for discussion.

Councilmember/Board Member/Commissioner deHaan moved approval of the remainder of the Consent Calendar.

Councilmember/Board Member/Commissioner Tam seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous voice vote - 5. [Note: Mayor/Chair Gilmore abstained from voting on the Minutes.] [Items so enacted or adopted are indicated by an asterisk preceding the paragraph number.]

(\*11-044 CC/11-004 CIC/HABOC) Minutes of the Special Joint City Council, CIC and Housing Authority Board of Commissioners Meeting Held on January 18, 2011. Approved.

Mayor/Chair Gilmore abstained from voting on the Minutes.

(\*11-045 CC/11-008 ARRA/11-005 CIC) Recommendation to Accept the Quarterly Financial Report through September 30, 2010. Accepted.

(11-046 CC/11-009 ARRA/11-006 CIC) Recommendation to Accept the Fiscal Year 2009-2010 Audited Financial Statements and Compliance Reports. Accepted.

The Controller gave a brief presentation.

Special Joint Meeting Alameda City Council, Alameda Reuse and Redevelopment Authority, Community Improvement Commission, and Housing Authority Board of Commissioners February 1, 2011

Speaker: Kevin Kearney, City Auditor.

Councilmember/Board Member/Commissioner Tam inquired how the City is paying down the Other Post Employment Benefit (OPEB) liability.

The Controller responded the City is taking the "pay as you go" approach; stated Fiscal Year 2009-2010 payments totaled approximately \$2 million.

Councilmember/Board Member/Commissioner Tam stated the City has a significant [General Fund] fund balance; inquired whether the fund balance could be used to pay the OPEB liability.

The Controller responded the General Fund can be used for anything; stated the unrestricted reserve is approximately \$15 million which is approximately 20% of the budget; Council might want to consider beefing up the set aside reserves in the Internal Service Funds; the funds could be used to pay down some of the OPEB liability.

Mayor/Chair Gilmore stated the General Fund reserve balance has gone up because maintenance projects have stopped; two years ago, the General Fund balance was \$6 million; the balance has more than doubled in two years; questioned how the increase happened in the middle of the worst depression since the 1930's; stated property transfer tax and sales tax revenues have gone down; the Planning and Building Department's salary savings should have been a net neutral.

The Controller responded that he would research [the reason for the increase in the General Fund] and come back to Council.

Councilmember/Board Member/Commissioner deHaan inquired how deferred maintenance is addressed in the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR); stated deferred maintenance totals \$79 million.

The Controller responded Governmental Accounting Standard Board 34 has changed how financials are presented; stated cities need to perform a complete infrastructure inventory; the City took an estimated cost approach to determine the useful life on various types of infrastructure and assets; page 45 has a line for enterprise activity related to ferry services, the Golf Course, and Sewer Fund; depreciation should be funded at a bare minimum in order to keep operation levels the same.

Councilmember/Board Member/Commissioner deHaan stated the Fiscal Sustainability Committee came up with a \$79 million figure [for deferred maintenance]; that he does not see the amount referenced in the report; inquired whether reporting is required.

The Controller responded depreciation could be reported in two ways: as an estimated cost or modified approach.

Special Joint Meeting Alameda City Council, Alameda Reuse and Redevelopment Authority, Community Improvement Commission, and Housing Authority Board of Commissioners February 1, 2011 Councilmember/Board Member/Commissioner deHaan stated that he does not see anything reflected in the report.

The Controller stated depreciation is reflected in the budget.

The Acting City Manager stated the CAFR has to follow specific guidelines.

Mayor/Chair Gilmore stated the Internal Service Funds have been running \$800,000 to \$1 million in the negative; questioned how the negative has been reduced to \$200,000.

Councilmember/Board Member/Commissioner Tam stated that she is looking for clues on why the General Fund doubled; the Charter requires Alameda Municipal Power (AMP) to transfer excess earnings to the General Fund; AMP did not have excess earnings in Fiscal Year 2009/2010 but still transferred \$2.8 million.

The Acting City Manager stated the Public Utilities Board (PUB) has an interest in supporting the City.

The Acting City Attorney stated the PUB has made the same transfer over the past several years.

The Controller stated an \$800,000 one-time catch up payment was made this year.

Councilmember/Board Member/Commissioner deHaan moved approval of the staff recommendation.

Vice Mayor/Board Member/Commissioner Bonta seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous voice vote – 4. [Absent: Councilmember/Board Member/Commissioner Johnson – 1.]

(\*HABOC) Recommendation to Authorize the Acting Chief Executive Officer to Negotiate and Execute a Purchase and Sale Agreement for the Islander Motel Property Located at 2428 Central Avenue. Accepted.

#### AGENDA ITEMS

(<u>11-047 CC</u>) Resolution No. <u>14545</u>, "Approving and Authorizing Execution of a Public Improvements Reimbursement Agreement between the City of Alameda and Community Improvement Commission for the Alameda Point Improvement Project Area." Adopted;

(11-007 CIC) Resolution No. 11-175, "Approving and Authorizing Execution of a Public Improvements Reimbursement Agreement between the City of Alameda and

Special Joint Meeting Alameda City Council, Alameda Reuse and Redevelopment Authority, Community Improvement Commission, and Housing Authority Board of Commissioners February 1, 2011 Community Improvement Commission for the Alameda Point Improvement Project Area." Adopted;

(<u>HABOC</u>) Resolution No. 826, "Approving and Authorizing Execution of an Affordable Housing Reimbursement Agreement Between the Housing Authority and the Community Improvement Commission for the Alameda Point Improvement Project Area." Adopted; and

(<u>11-007A CIC</u>) Resolution No. 11-176, "Approving and Authorizing Execution of an Affordable Housing Reimbursement Agreement Between the Housing Authority and the Community Improvement Commission for the Alameda Point Improvement Project Area." Adopted.

The Deputy City Manager – Development Services submitted a handout and gave a brief presentation.

Speaker: Robb Ratto, Park Street Business Association (PSBA).

Councilmember/Board Member/Commissioner Tam moved adoption of the resolutions; stated the encumbrance of tax increment dollars is critical for the City to preserve the ability to control the redevelopment of Alameda Point.

Vice Mayor/Board Member/Commissioner Bonta seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous voice vote – 5. [Absent: Councilmember/Commissioner Johnson – 1.]

- (<u>11-048 CC</u>) Resolution No.14546, "Approving and Authorizing Execution of a Public Improvements Reimbursement Agreement between the City of Alameda and Community Improvement Commission for the Business and Waterfront Improvement Project/West End Community Improvement Project Areas." Adopted;
- (<u>11-008 CIC</u>) Resolution No. 11-177, "Approving and Authorizing Execution of a Public Improvements Reimbursement Agreement between the City of Alameda and Community Improvement Commission for the Business and Waterfront Improvement Project/West End Community Improvement Project Areas." Adopted;
- (<u>HABOC</u>) Resolution No. 827, "Approving and Authorizing Execution of an Affordable Housing Reimbursement Agreement Between the Housing Authority and the Community Improvement Commission for the Business and Waterfront Improvement Project/West End Community Improvement Project Areas." Adopted; and
- (<u>11-008A CIC</u>) Resolution No. <u>11-178</u>, "Approving and Authorizing Execution of an Affordable Housing Reimbursement Agreement Between the Housing Authority and the Community Improvement Commission for the Business and Waterfront Improvement Project/West End Community Improvement Project Areas." Adopted.

The Deputy City Manager – Development Services gave a brief presentation.

Speaker: Robb Ratto, PSBA.

Councilmember / Board Member / Commissioner deHaan moved adoption of the resolutions.

Vice Mayor/Board Member/Commissioner Bonta seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous voice vote – 5. [Absent: Councilmember/Board Member/Commissioner Johnson – 1.]

# **ADJOURNMENT**

There being no further business, Mayor/Chair Gilmore adjourned the meeting at 8:27 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Lara Weisiger, City Clerk Secretary, CIC

The agenda for this meeting was posted in accordance with the Brown Act.

# MINUTES OF THE SPECIAL JOINT COMMUNITY IMPROVEMENT COMMISSION (CIC), AND HOUSING AUTHORITY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS (HABOC) MEETING WEDNESDAY--MARCH 2, 2011--6:59 P.M.

Chair Gilmore convened the meeting at 8:07 p.m. Commissioner Johnson led the Pledge of Allegiance.

ROLL CALL –

Present:

Commissioners Allen, Bonta, deHaan, Johnson, Tam,

and Chair Gilmore – 6.

Absent:

None.

# **AGENDA ITEM**

(<u>11-014 CIC</u>) Recommendation to Approve an Owner Participation Agreement (OPA) and Authorize the Acting Executive Director to Execute an OPA and Other Related Documents with Resources for Community Development and the Housing Authority;

(<u>HABOC</u>) Recommendation to Approve an OPA and Authorize the Acting Chief Executive Officer to Execute an OPA and Other Related Documents with Resources for Community Development and the Community Improvement Commission; and

(11-014A CIC) Resolution No. 11-179, "Authorizing the Issuance of Tax Allocation Housing Bonds to Provide Financial Assistance to the Housing Authority of the City of Alameda and as Otherwise Required for the Acquisition and Rehabilitation of a Rental Housing Facility Commonly Known as 2428 Central Avenue to be Occupied by Very Low-Income Households." Adopted.

The Housing Department Executive Director gave a brief presentation.

Speaker: Robb Ratto, Park Street Business Association.

Commissioner Tam stated redevelopment agencies are coming under scrutiny now; the interchangeability of tax increment funding generated by redevelopment areas has become an issue; tax allocation bonds are being repaid from tax increment generated from the Business and Waterfront Improvement Project (BWIP) and West End Community Improvement Project (WECIP); questioned whether using WECIP funds to pay for something outside of the redevelopment area would be an issue.

The Housing Department Development Manager responded BWIP and WECIP are merged project areas; stated the funds can be spent for either project area; Council and the CIC made findings that WECIP affordable housing funds can be spent outside of the WECIP project area; resolutions regarding the matter have been adopted.

Commissioner Tam stated that she understands that the redevelopment areas have merged and that the governing bodies have stipulated that housing monies can be put into one pot and used anywhere on the Island; she is questioning whether the State

would view the issue in the same manner; inquired whether the resolutions would be a problem.

Paul Thimmig, Bond Counsel, responded the State would have to respect findings made before January 1<sup>st</sup>; stated the State wants the dollars and does not care where money is spent; tonight's actions would not run afoul of the complaints in Sacramento.

Commissioner Tam stated that she recalls the Controller stating that the districts need review because other cities interchangeable uses have been perceived as abusive.

The Acting Legal Counsel stated the motion should be done in two steps; the first step would be approval for the CIC and HABOC to authorize execution of the OPA; the second step would be to adopt the CIC resolution.

Commissioner Johnson moved approval of the CIC and HABOC authorizing execution of the OPA.

Commissioner Bonta seconded the motion.

Under discussion, Commissioner Johnson stated the project would renovate 62 units of affordable housing for low and very low income people and would create 35 jobs per million dollars.

The Acting Legal Counsel stated Section 7.6 should read "If this Agreement is terminated pursuant to Section 7.2 or 7.3," not Section 7.4.

On the call for the question, the motion carried by unanimous voice vote - 6.

Commissioner Johnson moved adoption of the CIC resolution.

Commissioner Bonta seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous voice vote - 5.

# <u>ADJOURNMENT</u>

There being no further business, Chair Gilmore adjourned the meeting at 8:22 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Lara Weisiger Secretary, CIC

The agenda for this meeting was posted in accordance with the Brown Act.

Special Joint Meeting Community Improvement Commission and Housing Authority Board of Commissioners March 2, 2011

# MINUTES OF THE SPECIAL JOINT COMMUNITY IMPROVEMENT COMMISSION (CIC) AND HOUSING AUTHORITY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS (HABOC) MEETING TUESDAY--JULY 6, 2010--6:55 P.M.

Chair Johnson convened the meeting at 8:02 p.m. Commissioner Torrey led the Pledge of Allegiance.

ROLL CALL –

Present:

Commissioners deHaan, Gilmore, Matarrese, Tam,

Torrey and Chair Johnson – 6.

Absent:

None.

### CONSENT CALENDAR

Chair Johnson announced that Resolution Amending the Rules and Procedures [paragraph no. <u>HABOC</u>] was removed from the Consent Calendar for discussion.

Commissioner Tam moved approval of the remainder of the Consent Calendar.

Commissioner deHaan seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous voice vote – 5. [Items so enacted or adopted are indicated by an asterisk preceding the paragraph number.]

(\*HABOC) Recommendation to Approve the Minutes of the Special Board of Commissioners Meeting Held on April 6, 2010. Approved.

(\*10-50 CIC/HABOC) Recommendation to Approve a Second Amendment to the Affordable Housing Agreement Between the Housing Authority and Community Improvement Commission Extending the Term of the Agreement Two Years to July 2026. Accepted.

(<u>HABOC</u>) Resolution No. 819, "Amending the Rules and Procedures of the Housing Authority, Effective January 1, 2010, Changing the Time to Start Regular Meetings of the Board of Commissioners to 6:55 P.M." Adopted.

Commissioner Torrey questioned whether changing the starting time would be logical; stated City Council meetings are supposed to start at 7:00 p.m., but start much later.

The City Clerk stated that the 6:55 p.m. start time is being proposed in order to have the HABOC meetings before City Council meetings.

Chair Johnson stated the only other way would be to have HABOC meetings after City Council meetings, which would not be a good idea.

Special Joint Meeting Community Improvement Commission and Housing Authority Board of Commissioners July 6, 2010 Commissioner Gilmore moved adoption of the resolution.

Commissioner deHaan seconded the motion, which carried by the following voice vote: Ayes: Commissioners deHaan, Gilmore, Matarrese, Tam and Chair Johnson - 5. Abstentions: Commissioner Torrey - 1.

#### AGENDA ITEMS

(<u>HABOC</u>) Recommendation to Award a Contract in the Amount of \$106,680, Including \$17,780 for Contingencies, to Replace the Brush Street Parking Lots at Esperanza to DRYCO Construction Inc., and to Authorize the Interim Executive Director to Execute the Contract.

Commissioner deHaan moved approval of the staff recommendation.

Commissioner Matarrese seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous voice vote – 6.

#### **ADJOURNMENT**

There being no further business, Chair Johnson adjourned the meeting at 8:05 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Lara Weisiger, Secretary CIC

The agenda for this meeting was posted in accordance with the Brown Act.